that by reason of the application of varying factors "there is a great deal of confusion among the decisions" as to the meaning of but a difficult one in the borderline case," and among the decisions as to the meaning of such term. 1 Moore's Federal Practice, 2d ed.

In the Court's opinion, the factual foundation for venue having been challenged by deport the laying of venue in this district. This fendant, it is incumbent upon plaintiff to suphas not been done.

pendent of the issues as to sufficiency of service. Venue is a matter of amenability to suit These considerations as to venue are indein a particular district. Sufficiency of service involves the question as to whether a defend-

ant otherwise subject to suit has been properly summoned before the court. Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Proce-dure controls on the matter of process. Rule 4(d)(3) governs on corporate service, and pro-vides that service may be made:

· · · by delivering a copy of the summons and of the complaint to an officer, a managing or general agent, or to any other agent authorized by appointment or by law to receive service of process.

district court is held, and, when authorized by a statute of the United States or by these rules, 4(c) applies to "service upon party not inhabitant of or found within state". It provides Rule 4(f) provides that "all process other than a subpoena may be served anywhere within the territorial limits of the state in which the beyond the territorial limits of that state. Rule As to the territorial limits of effective service

Whenever a statute of the United States or an order of count thereunder provides for service of a summons, * * * upon a party not an inhabitant of or found within the state in which the district court is held, sertrict court is held provides (1) for service of a summons. * * * upon a party not an inhabitant of or found within the state * * * vice may be made under the circumstances and in the manner prescribed by the statute or order, or, if there is no provision therein prescribing the manner of service, in a manner stated in this rule. Whenever a statute or rule of court of the state in which the discircumstances and in the manner prescribed service may in either case be made under the in the statute or rule.

fendant, it appears that Mr. Meister, upon whom service was made, is a local sales representative of defendant of quite limited authority. Mr. Meister is clearly not an officer, managing or general agent, or otherwise authorized to receive service of process. Con-From affidavits submitted on behalf of desequently, service upon him must fail.

a review of the law generally applicable to matters of venue and process is that, while there is but a specific issue before the Court at The reason that the Court has entered into this time, it does appear to the Court that plaintiff may never be able to require defendant to submit to suit in this jurisdiction. That contingency must be taken into account at this

would authorize such service in this case. Basically speaking, the Ohio long-arm statute, O.R.C. § 2307.382, applies to causes of action which arise from acts bearing some relationship to the State of Ohio. The claim asserted 3] From defendant's affidavits, it appears risdiction upon whom service could be made as an officer, managing or general agent, or person authorized to receive service. Conthat there is no representative of defendant within the territorial limits of the Court's jusequently, any possible effective service would have to be extraterritorial. The Court has not found any statute of the United States which herein would not come within the terms of that statute.

general business activities in Ohio, it appears highly unlikely that plaintiff can effect service upon defendant in such manner as to require defendant to submit itself to the court's jurisdiction. While the Court is sympathetic to plaintiff's claim that he cannot effectively prosecute this pro se action in any other jurisdiction, the Court cannot exercise personal judiction, the Court cannot exercise Therefore, while it is possible that venue may exist in this case by reason of defendant's risdiction where it does not exist.

quashed upon Mr. Meister; plaintiff shall within forty-five days either procure good Under these circumstances, service is service upon defendant, or consent to a transfer of this action as proposed by defendant; if plaintiff does not effect service or consent to transfer, the action shall be dismissed for want of prosecution.

Court of Customs and Patent Appeals

In re LANGER

Decided Oct. 3, 1974 No. 9239

1. Pleading and practice in Patent Of-PATENTS

Even though effective date, for prior art fice - Rejections (\$54.7)

purposes, of many of the references is subsequent to applicant's earliest filing date, references are properly cited for purpose of showing a fact.

183 USPO

2. Patentability — Utility (§51.75)

Specification - Sufficiency of disclosure (§62.7)

ty corresponding in scope to subject matter sought to be patented must be taken as sufficient to satisfy utility requirement of 35 U.S.C. 101 for entire claimed subject matter unless there is reason for one skilled in the utility or its scope; assuming that sufficient reason to question statement of utility and its scope exists, rejection for lack of utility under section 101 is proper; rejection can be overcome by proof indicating truth of statement of utility and its scope as found in art to question objective truth of statement of Specification containing disclosure of utilispecification.

3. Patentability - Utility (\$51.75)

It is not proper for Patent Office to require clinical testing in humans to rebut prima facie case for lack of utility when pertinent references which establish the prima facie case show in vitro tests and when they do not show in vivo tests employing standard experimental animals; while full scale clinical lish commercial usefulness of dentifrice, development of a product to extent that it is presently commercially salable in market place is not required to establish usefulness within meaning of 35 U.S.C. 101. trials in humans may be necessary to estab-

4. Court of Customs and Patent Appeals - Briefs (§28.05)

Counsel's argument at oral hearing cannot take the place of evidence.

Particular patents-Dentifrice

ing Enamel Solubility, rejection of claims 1, 3 to 6, 8 to 11, 13 to 16, and 18 to 20 of application affirmed; rejection of claims 2, 7, 12, anger, Dentifrices and Method for Reducand 17 reversed.

Appeal from Board of Appeals of the Patent

Application for patent of Horst G. Langer, Serial No. 29,281, filed Apr. 16, 1970; Patent Office Group 120. From decision rejecting claims 1 to 20, applicant appeals. Affirmed as to claims 1, 3 to 6, 8 to 11, 13 to 16, and 18 to 20; reversed as to claims 2, 7, 12, and 17.

BERND W. SANDT and THEODORE POST, both JOSEPH F. NANAMURA (JACK E. ARMORE OF counsel) for Commissioner of Patents. of Midland, Mich., for appellant.

Before MARKEY, Chief Judge, and RICH, BALDWIN, LANE, and MILLER, Associate

In re Langer

consideration, affirming the rejection of all the claims, claims 1-20, for "lack of proof of utility (operativeness) of the claimed subject matter for its intended purpose" under 35 U.S.C. 101, of patent application Serial No. 29,281, filed April 16, 1970, for "Dentifries and Method for Reducing Enamel Solubility." We reverse in part and affirm in part. This appeal is from the decision of the Patent Office Board of Appeals, adhered to on re-

The Specification

Appellant's specification describes the invention in the following way:

tions and methods using a new source of stannous 2 tin for incorporation in denti-frices by which term is meant mouth washes, tooth pastes, tooth powders and compositions. The novel dentifrices contain tin in the The present invention concerns compositroduction into the oral cavity as cleansing chewing gums, i.e., compositions for in-

amino carboxylic acid having an Sn:N ratio of the chelate moieties of 1:1, in the amount of 0.00001 to 15 weight percent. The dentifrices of this invention thus make possible a method for supplying and contacting tooth soluble, non-ionizing chelate 3 of a synthetic stannous form as a substantially water-inenamel several times daily with a minor to trace source of reactive tin which reacts with exposed tooth enamel to form a highly insoluble basic stannous phosphate.

1969, which was a continuation-in-part of application Schall No. 714,411, filed March 20, 1968, which was a continuation-in-part of application Scrial No. 359,778, filed April 14, 1964, which was allegedly a continuation of application Scrial No. 165,962, filed January 12, 1962, and application Scrial No. 43, filed January 4, 1960, The record indicates that The Dow Chemical Company is the real This application is a continuation-in-part of ap-ication Serial No. 790,469, filed January 10, plication

ing tin (chemical symbol. Sn)—used esp. of compounds in which this element is bivalent [Sn²+] ... Webster's Third New International Dictionary of the English Language, Unabridged, 2225 (1966). Stannic" refers to "" - compounds in which this element is tetravalent [Sn²+] * - * " Id." Chelate" means "[t]he type of coordination compound * * * * in which a central atom (usually a party in interest.

(called ligands), so that heterocyclic rings are formed with the central (metal) atom as part of each ring. Ligands * * offering two groups for attachment to the metal are termed bidentate (two-toothed); three groups, tridentate, etc." The Condensed Chemical Dictionary, 190 (8th ed. 1971). metal) is joined by covalent bonds to two or more other atoms of one or more other molecules or ions

In re Langer

cation of stannous tin for the purpose of enamel solubility reduction can be had by employing as a common--weight percent of a stannous chelate of a chelating agent corresponding to the following general formula: dentifrices herein taught at least 0.00001

⊖ਜੂ (HOOCCH₂)₂NR wherein R is selected for

(CHzCOOH)] m CHzCOOH (II) where--\ -9

when R is H and m is zero; by the formula

$$H_{2}O \leftarrow Sn \xrightarrow{O-C-CH_{2}} N-(CH_{2})_{n}-N \xleftarrow{CH_{2}-C-O} Sn \rightarrow H_{2}O \text{ or}$$

$$Q = C-CH_{2}$$

$$Q = C-CH_{2}$$

$$Q = C-CH_{2}$$

$$Q = CH_{2}$$

may be replaced by a -CH-CH-OH group when m of moiety (II) above is 1,2.3, or 4. wherein 1 or 2 of the -CH-COOH groups All of such stannous chetates are substantially water insoluble, i.e., have a water solubility generally less than one percent by

weight at room temperature, all the chelate tooth enamel when introduced into the oral cavity as thewing gum or other dentifrice, to moieties have an Sn to N ratio of 1 to 1, and provide a source of tin reactive with reduce the enamel solubility.

enediaminetriacetic acid [Sn.HEDTA]; monostannous chelate of nitrilotriacetic acid [SnNTA]; monostannous chelate of iminodiacetic acid 7 [SnIDA]; and of N-subtetraacetic acid 10; and generally the stannous chelates described in U. S. Patent propyliminodiacetic acid, etc.; distannous propylenediaminetetraacetic chelates are distannous chelate of ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (Sn.EDTA) Representative of the foregoing stannous enediaminetetraacetic acid (SnzEDTA) [also referred to as Snz(II) EDTA]; disstituted iminodiacetic acids such as monostannous chelate of N-methyl-, N-ethyl-, Ntannous chelate of tetramethylenediamineacid 8; distannous chelate of 3,152,155. [Bracketed insertions ours.] methylenediaminetetraacetic acid 9; tannous chelate of hydroxyethyl 9 chelate

lowing proposed theoretical explanation of how the invention operates: Appellant's specification also gives the fol-

be effective, the stannous chelates used in the dentifrices of this invention are sub-stantially insoluble in water and stable in aqueous media and provide an effective source of stannous tin. The distannous che-0.05 weight percent at room temperature and is stable in aqueous formulations. The mote a reaction according to the following are water soluble and unstable in aqueous soluble, unstable and too tightly chelated to late of ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid, for example, has a water solubility of less than low solubility in water contributes to the oxidation resistance of such chelates. In soof dissolved stannous chelate gives an acid reaction which, in the presence of naturally occurring chelating agents normally present in the mouth, such as sugars, proteins, ae.g., stannous fluoride and chloride, which media, or other chelates which are water lution in saliva, the minor to trace amount mino acids and lactic acid, is believed to pro-Unlike the stannous salts of the prior art simplified equations:

In the equations, SnR represents a water-SnOH++HR'-SnR + R'H₂ → SnR' + RH₂ SnR' + H₂O → SnOH + + HR

*Formula IVA, supra, when n is 2, represents this chelate. Formula IVB, supra, when n is 2, represents

 \rightarrow H₂0

 $(CH_2)_{n-N-(CH_2)_{\overline{n}}}$

HO-0-0

сн,соон

Ęź • Nitrilotriacetic acid is N(CH.COOH)x Condensed Chemical Dictionary, 619 (8th this chelate.

Ļ *Formula IVA, supra, when -(GH3)n- is re placed by -CH(CH3)CH2-, represents this chelate. Formula III, supra, represents this chelate.

Formula IVA, supra, when n is 3, represents this chelate.

10 Formula IVA, supra, when n is 4, represents this chelate.

phosphate, in the same manner as stannous fluoride is believed now ultimately to react frices herein, R'H2 represents a naturally occurring chelating agent normally present in the mouth, which compound has reactive hydrogen groups and the SnOH+ cation represents a species which has been demonstrated polarographically to form by hydrolysis of tin(II) salts and complexes in aque-12 (1958), 198-223. The SnOH+ cation can react with the calcium hydroxyapatite of the enamel to form the insoluble basic stannous to form the same basic stannous phosphate. Because of the complexities of the above reactions involving minute quantities of reacous solution; Acta Chemica Scandinavica, tants, it has not yet been possible conci sively to demonstrate by present analy techniques that such reactions do, in

soluble basic stannous phosphate; J. of Dent. Res., 39, 740, July-August 1960; [Myers.] J. Am. Dent. Assn., 77, 1308, Dec. 1968. The compositions and methods entirely consistent with said theory. In any minor to trace amount of stannous chelate dissolves and the exchange of stannous tin tively small, and the dentifrices are used on determined results, i.e., the formation with enamel of the basic stannous phosphate a dentifrice containing one of the such experimentally determined results are event, there is an exchange of the calcium of the enamel for stannous tin provided by the chelate. As this exchange proceeds, a further for calcium continues at the exposed surface of the tooth enamel while the dentifrice is in the mouth. Since the exposed tooth enamel surface in the mammalian mouth is relaa continuing basis several times a day, an 0.00001 weight percent is effective in reducdentifrices herein described thus provided the tooth enamel with a surface of an indisclosed are useful inter alia in the reduction of enamel solubility of valuable domesticated animals, such as, for example, dogs. take place. Consequently, it is not desired to be bound by this theory, even though (1) the theory is consistent with all experimentally claimed stannous chelates is used and (2) Emphasis and bracketed insertion ours.] stannous chelate as low amel surfaces to the stannous chelates of ing enamel solubility. The exposure of amount of when

parts per million (p.p.m.) for Group A, 300 p.p.m. for Group B, and none for Group C (control). At examination following sacrifice. fication describes the results of a test where The specification contains sixteen "examples" of various types. Example 1 in the speci-SnzEDTA (see note 4, supra) was added to the diet of separate groups of rats at levels of 200

Group A had 9.1 caries lesions (average) per animal and a tin level of 160 p.p.m. (average) in their tooth enamel, Group B had 7.5 caries esions (average) per animal and a tin level of 225 p.p.m. (average) in their tooth enamel, and Group C (control) had 12.2 caries lesions (average) per animal and a tin level of 4 p.p.m. in their tooth enamel.

Example 2 describes a tooth paste formulation where "preferably 3% [by weight] of tin chelate, e.g., distannous ethylenediaminetetractic (SnEDTA), is incorporated in this paste, but it can be varied from about 1% to about 15%." Example 3 describes another tooth paste formulation where "[1] the paste is preferably added about 4% [by weight] of the tin chelate, distannous ethylenediaminete-traacetic [SnzEDTA]." Example 4 describes a tooth powder formulation containing 7.5 parts of SnzEDTA. Example 5 describes a mouth taining SnEDTA again "to [the] limit of solubility." Examples 7 and 8 describe chewing gum formulations containing SnEDTA where the amount of the chelate "can be varwhere the amount of the chelate "can be var-ied from about 0.00001% to about 15% by wash formulation containing SnzEDTA "to the limit of solubility." Example 6 describes weight.

lation to which "five parts of stannous chelate of iminodiacetic acid [SnIDA, see note 7, Example 9 describes a chewing gum formusupra) is added.

Example 10 describes a chewing gum formulation containing "twelve parts distannous chelate of propylene diaminetetraacetate [see note 8, supra].

tic acid [SnNTA, see note 6, supra]; distannous chelate of propylenediaminetetraace-tic acid [see note 8, supra]; distannous chelate gum mix can be "distannous chelate of Example 11 describes a chewing gum in which the stannous chelates incorporated in ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid [Sn2EDTA]; distannous chelate of hydroxyethylethylene-diaminetriacetic acid (SnzHEDTA, see note 5. supra]; monostannous chelate of nitrilotriaceof trimethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (see note 9, supra]; and distannous chelate of tetra-methylenediaminetetraacetic acid (see note 10, supra ڃ

Examples 12 and 13 are both entitled "Artificial Mouth Test: Enamel Solubility Reduction." They are preceded by the following explanatory paragraph.

frices, dental researchers frequently use as an indicator the reduction in the amount of enamel dissolved or ESR test, as disclosed in Holliday et al. [Holliday]. U. S. Patent 3,105,798, issued Oct. 1, 1963. Holliday et To measure the effect on tooth enamel of

Tukon hardness tester; W. T. Sweeney:
"The Knoop Indentation Hardness Instrument as a Tool in Dental Research", J. Dent. Res. 27 (1942), 303; R. W. Phillips e.a., "Effect of Fluorides on Hardness of Tooth Enamel", J. Am. Dent. Assn. 37 (1948), 1; and T. Koulourides e.a., "Reproduced by an acid-producing strepto-coccus isolated from dental plaque; Pigman, W.: "In Vitro Production of Experimental Caries", J. Am. Dental Assn., 57 (1955), 685-696 (use of the artificial mouth in ex-perimental caries research). Decrease in hardness of enamel due to solubilization or erosion of enamel was measured in following examples in Knoop units as determined with a Knoop diamond indenter using a hardening of Softened Enamel Surfaces of Human Teeth by Solutions of Calcium acid pH buffered acetate solution or when it is dissolved in the artificial mouth by acid frices herein described, when the enamel is dissolved in the artificial mouth with an teeth with a dentifrice by measuring the decrease in amount of Ca⁴⁵ and P³² dissolved to a pH of 4.5. Another method for measuring ESR, the method used herein, is the from irradiated teeth by a measured volume of 0.1 N lactic acid-sodium lactate adjusted lessened decrease in hardness of enamel, following exposure to one of the novel denti-Phosphates", Nature, 189 (1961), 226-227. Bracketed insertion ours.]

The test results stated in Examples 12 and posed to solutions containing 1% by weight 13 show that when human tooth enamel is ex-SnzEDTA the enamel softened and eroded less than the control samples.

Examples 14 and 15 describe tests where human subjects were fitted with bridge-like dental appliances holding small slabs of human teeth. The subjects then chewed sticks of chewing gum containing 1% and 0.01% by weight SnzEDTA for fifteen minutes three times each day for one week. Analysis of the slabs for tin uptake showed that they contained higher concentrations of stannous tin than the control slabs.

three groups "each of forty rats of mixed sex Osborne-Mendel caries-susceptible strain

••• maintained on a cariogenic diet •••"

Group (A) had applied to their teeth, twice each day for 115 days, a mixture of 3.5% by weight SN:EDTA in ORABASE emollient dental paste. Control group (B) had ORABASE emollient dental paste (without Sn:EDTA) applied to their teeth, and control group (C) had nothing applied to their teeth.

After sacrifice, examination of the rats' teeth Finally. Example 16 describes a test using showed that group (A), which had the

SnzEDTA applied to their teeth, had "a caries reduction of approximately 40% as compared with the controls."

pendent claim 1 recites generically a dentifrice Claims 1-5 are drawn to dentifrices. Inde-The Claimed Subject Matter

as follows:

1. A dentifrice containing from about 0.00001 to 15 percent by weight of said dentifrice of a substantially water-insoluble stannous chelate of a chelating agent corresponding to the formula (HOQCCH)-2NR wherein R is selected from the group consisting of H and [(CH2)n-N-(CH2COOH)]m -CH2COOH in which n is an integer from 2 to 4 and m is an integer from 0 to 4, wherein one or two of the CH2COOH groups may be replaced by a -CH2COOH group and wherein the Sn:N

taining specific chelates as follows: (Bracketed Dependent claims 2-5 recite dentifrices conratio of said chelate moiety is 1:1

insertions ours.)

2. A dentifrice as claimed in Claim 1 late of ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid wherein the chelate is the distannous che-

3. A dentifrice as claimed in Claim 1 wherein the chelate is the stannous chelate of nitrilotriacetic acid [SnNTA].

4. A dentifrice as claimed in Claim I wherein the chelate is the stannous chelate of iminodiacetic acid [SnIDA].
5. A deptifrice as claimed in Claim I wherein the chelate is the distannous chelate of hydroxyethyl ethylenediaminetriace tic acid [Sn2HEDTA].

Independent claim 6 recites generically "[a] chewing gum containing from about 0.00001 to 15 percent by weight of said chewing gum of * * * [a chelate defined generically as in claim 1, supra]." Claims 7-10 are dependent on 1, supra]. claim 6, and they rectte chewing upons containing the specific chelates recited in claims 2-5, supra, respectively. For example, claim 7 Claims 6-10 are drawn to chewing gums. reads as follows: (Bracketed insertion ours.)

7. A chewing gum as claimed in Claim 6 wherein the chelate is the distannous cheethylenediaminetetraacetic [SnzEDTA] late of

esses) "whereby the enamel solubility is reduced." Independent claim 11 generically re-Claims 11-20 are drawn to methods (proccites a method as follows:

11. Method which comprises contacting the enamel of teeth on a continuing basis with a substantially water-insoluble stannous chelate of a chelating agent corresponding to the formula (HOOCCH2) 2NR wherein R is selected from the group con-

is an integer from 2 to 4 and m is an integer from 0 to 4, wherein one or two of the -CH2COOH groups may be replaced by a -CH2CH2OH group and wherein the Sn:N consisting of H and [(CH2)n-N-(CH2COOH)-] m-CH2COOH in which n ratio of said chelate moiety is 1:1, whereby the enamel solubility is reduced.

they recite methods wherein the chelates em-ployed are the specific chelates recited in claims 2-5, supra, respectively. For example, claim 12 reads as follows: (Bracketed insertion Claims 12-15 are dependent on claim 11, and ours.)

12. Method as claimed in Claim 11 wherein the chelate is the distannous chelate of ethylenediaminetetraacetic a [SnzEDTA].

"comprises chewing a chewing gum containing from about 0.00001 to 15 percent by weight of " " [a chelate defined generically as in claim 11, supra]." Claims 17-20 are dependent on claim 16, and they recite methods wherein the chewing gum contains the specific chelates recited in claims 2-5, supra, respectively. For example, claim 17 reads as follows: Claim 16 is also dependent on claim 11, and it adds the further limitation that the method (Bracketed insertion ours.)

17. Method as claimed in Claim 16 wherein the chelate is the distannous chelate of ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid [SnzEDTA]

The references relied on by the examiner The References And The Rejection and the board are:

Hollidav et al. (Holliday) 3,105,798 Oct. 1, 1963 (filed May 29, 1958) Fiscella 3,282,792 Nov. 1, 1966 (filed

Jan. 9, 1964)

Great Britain 922,385 Mar. 27, 1963 (filed Jan. 3, 1961) Griebstein 3,544,678 Dec. 1, 1970(file May 2, 1966)

and Cosmetic Industry, 67:833 Drug and (Dec. 1950)

Langer, Journal of Dental Research, 39:740 (July-Aug. 1960) Dental Abstracts, 8:372 (June 1963)

The references cited by appellant are:

Myers, J. Am. Dent. Assn., 77:1308-14 (Dec. 1968) Norris et al. (Norris) 2,946,725 July 26, 1960 (filed Mar. 25, 1957)

Gagolski et al. (Gagolski) 3,471,613 Oct. 7, 1969 (filed Mar. 22, 1966) Muhler 3,546,335 Dec. 8, 1970 (filed July 16, 1969)

In the final Office Action, claims 1-20 were rejected for "lack of proof of utility under 35

leged to be useful in reducing enamel solubility of teeth in the mouth," but that "those skilled in the art would not accept applicant's allegation as obviously valid and correct." According to the examiner, the Drug and Cosmetic Industry reference. It teaches "that stannous ion, when not in association with fluoride ion, is not effective in reducing dental caries"; the Holliday reference? teaches "that complexes ene diaminetetraacetic acid, are not suitable to supply tin ions in dentifrices since the tin is held too tightly"; the Fiscella reference 13 teaches "that stannous chelates of ethylene of stannous tin and the chelating agent, ethylthat the compositions and methods are

"Drug and Cosmetic Industry states in relevant part (col. 3, lines 1-25):

Of pertinent interest are the experimental studies made by J. C. Muhler and H. G. Day (J. Am. Den. Assoc. 41:529, 1950) on the effects of stannous fluoride, stannous chloride and sodium fluoride on the incidence of dental lesions in ratio fed a caries-producing dist. They found that stannous fluoride in the concentration of ten parts of fluorine per million in the drinking water was greatly superior to sodium fluoride or stannous chloride in any concentration used in this experiment for reducing the incidence and severity of carious lesions in both strains of ratio. Stannous chloride did not appear to decrease significantly the incidence and severity of carious lesions in both strains of ratio. Stannous chloride did not appear to decrease significantly the incidence and severity of the carious lesions. Neither the stannous salts nor the sodium fluoride had any apparent toxic effects in the concentrations of 10 ppm of thoorine in the had any apparent toxic effects in the concentrations of 10 ppm of thoorine in the had any apparent toxic effects in the concentrations of 10 ppm of thoorine in the had any apparent toxic effects in the concentrations of 10 ppm of thoorine in the land of the period of the sodium fluoride had any apparent toxic effects in the concentrations.

12 Holliday states in relevant part (col. 3, lines 41-

Many other compounds which form vzater-soluble complexes with metallic ions are known, but
the altonates [a complex of an aldonic acid such
as guronic acid] appear to be unique for the purposes of this invention. The complexing agent
may be postulated to reart with stannough ions to
bind them tightly enough that their rate of becoming non-available to dental enamel by hydrolysis, oxidation, and precipitation is greatly reduced but not so tightly that they become nonavailable to dental enamel.

Examples of complexers which bind the stannous ions more tightly than aldonates, and which do not serve to achieve the objects of this invention, are pyrophosphate, triphosphate, eth-ylenediaminetetrazectate, and physite. Examples of complexers which apparently do not bind the stannous ions tightly enough are lactate and sali-cylate. (Bracketed insertion and emphasis ours.) 13 Fiscella states in relevant part (col. 2, lines

dis and triscarboxylic acids [of Fiscella's invention] and their water-soluble salts in preventing the precipitation and axidation of the stannous ion was unexpected. Compounds having The effect of the hydroxyl substituted aliphatic

a statistically significant lowering of dental caries when fed to rats." The examiner then stated that "* * in the absence of clear and convincing evidence commensurate in scope with the allegation and claims, which evidence establishes the validity of the allegation, no claim can be indicated as allowable. ineffective in dentifrices"; and the Langer reference 14 "indicates that the stannous chelates of the present invention failed to demonstrate

Appellant responded by submitting an affi-davit executed by Mr. O. Ray McIntire, Tech-nical Director of Research, Consumer Prod-ucts Department of The Dow Chemical Company, McIntire's affidavit is 44 pages in In addition, the affidavit states in detail the same tests and results described in Examples length and gives in detail the results of numerous animal toxicity studies done on SnzEDTA. 12, 13, 14, 15 and 16 of appellant's specification, supra.

In the examiner's answer, three new refer-Dental Abstracts. The examiner cited Griebences were cited "to show the state of the art"

Griebstein, 15 British Patent 922,385, an

fective. Yet the acids of the invention and their water-soluble salts effectively chelse or form a comprex with the stannous ion which releases stannous son which mouth of the stance, chelating and complexing agents, such as ethylene diamme tetra-acetic acid (known as EDTA and Versene), have been found to be inefcharacteristics similar to these acids have been found to be ineffective for such purposes. For inuser. [Bracketed insertion and emphasis ours.]

¹⁴The Langer reference, authored by appellant, states (p. 740, item 249):

shown the importance of the stantous metal ion in SnF; [stannous fluoride] as well as the fluoride ion and the effectiveness of some metal ion chelates, including Zn, Ni, and Mn versenate. A new chemical, the distannous chelate of EDTA, has been prepared, which combines the effect of stannous ions with the advantages of the chelate—low toxicity and rate of hydrolysis as compared with other tin (II) salts. The compound has a surprisingly low solubility in water; yet, upon heating an aqueous slurry of dental enamel and the ethelet for Ca/Sn 1/1, quantitative formation of a basic stannous phosphate takes place, corresponding with stannous fluoride treatments. Rat feeding tests by L. C. Hendershot, R. Mansell, and F. Forsaint (Biochem. Res. Lab., Dow Chemical Company) show a definite dose-response relationship for different amounts of a significant caries inhibition in female rats, staring with a level of 200 ppm Sn. A highly significant reduction in enamed solubility was obtained through treatment with Sn(II)-EDTA by R. S. Manly (Westwood Research Laboratory). [Bracketed insertion and emphasis ours.] stannous EDTA added to the cariogenic diet and Previous reports on caries inhibition have

15 Griebstein had not issued at the time of the final

stein and the British patent for this paragraph in Griebstein (col. 2, lines 28-35):

for use in oral preparations in British Par. 92,385 [sic; should read 922,385], published Mar. 27, 1963. Such chelates have been found to substantially impair the reactivity of stannous tin with dental enamel ride-containing oral compositions for caries prophylaxis. [Emphasis and bracketed incarboxylic acid chelating agents such as eth-ylenediaminetetraacetic acid are disclosed and are therefore of limited value in fluo-Stannous chelates of alkylene polyamine sertion ours.

lan's fallgations with a "great deal of skepticism," and he again stated that the \$101 rejection was proper "in the absence of clear and convincing evidence commensurate in stope with the allegations and claims " " ", cting In re Citron, \$1 CCPA 852, 325 F.2d 248.

139 USPQ 516 (1963), In re Harwood, 55 CCPA 922, 390 F.2d 985, 156 USPQ 673 P.F.2d 540, 163 USPQ 689 (1969).

Responding to the McIntire affidavit, the examiner started that the "Artificial Mouth Fests" (same as Examples 12 and 13 in the specification) fail "to establish the clinical efpresent application, and there is no question that the claimed subject matter includes the chelates disclosed in the British patent. In two U.S. patent applications, Ser. Nos. 43 and 78 (both filed Jan. 4, 1960), the former being the great-great-grandparent of the Chemical Company, claims priority based on that one skilled in the art would view appel-British Patent 922,385, issued to The Dow ight of this teaching, the examiner thought

arrest the progress of caries and for a longer for clinical effectiveness to be established, the trials for two years if the agent is expected to fectiveness of the tested compound at the level Abstracts reference, 16 the examiner stated that chemical agent should be subjected to clinical tested." Relying on the newly cited Dental

Before any cariostatic agent is subjected to clinical trial, preliminary experimental work should have determined its potential usefulness and safety. This usually involves in vitro tests, in ¹⁶ The Dental Abstracts reference is entitled "Clinical testing of cariostatic agents" and it states vivo tests in animals, and small-scale pilot studies in humans. These data can be used to estimate the magnitude and variability of the cariostatic in relevant part:

rest the progress of caries, but for a longer time if Clinical trials should be conducted for a minithe agent's effect in preventing caries is to be assessed. There should be at least one year between mum of two years if the agent is expected to arre-examinations.

effect to be expected and the number of subjects

required in a full-scale controlled study.

for complexes other than the one tested. With respect to the test in the affidavit where a mixture of 3.5% by weight of SnzEDTA in ORA-BASE emollient dental paste was applied the teeth of rats (same as Example 16 in ("clinical evidence relating to the reduction of caries is on the record" and "that the evidence appearing in the McIntire affidavit cannot establish the validity of the allegations." take of tin by dental enamel in the absence of fluoride." The examiner also criticized the test on the ground that it could not demonstrate utility for concentrations below those tested or this test was of "doubtful significance as no utility has been shown to result from the uptime if the agent's effect in preventing caries is gum containing 1% and 0.01% by weight Sn2EDTA and the tin uptake in the test slabs in the specification), the examiner stated that specification), the examiner criticized the test on the ground that it pertained to only one of the many complexes coming within the scope of the claims and that it was directed to a concentration far above the lowest level claimed. The examiner concluded by stating that no scribed in the affidavit where subjects chewed

affidavit states that he presented a paper on the enamel solubility reducing properties of SnzEDTA at a meeting of the International Association for Dental Research in March 1960. The affidavit states that two "representatives" of a certain domestic corporation (we have omitted names) were present and expressed interest in SnzEDTA; that one of the representatives wrote to Langer in May 1960 (a copy of the letter is attached to the dut such sample was not sent; that at the March 1961 meeting of the same association a March 1961 meeting of the same association a In response to the newly cited Griebstein reference in the examiner's answer, an affidavit executed by Langer (the inventor in the present application) was submitted. Langer's third representative of the aforementioned do-mestic corporation reported that SnzEDTA and the supernatant aqueous liquid had been corporation] was then looking for a tin reservoir compound which would deliver stannous ions to dentifrice pastes ***;" and that Langer pointed out to the third representative that because of the chelate's low solubility in water, the supernatant aqueous liquid certainly would not deliver any stannous ions had been tested "for in vitro solubility, i.e., distannous EDTA had been slurried in water tested for dental enamel solubility reduction; it was notorious that * * the domestic would not be expected to perform well, to the toothpaste.

Langer's affidavit then makes the following statement regarding the Griebstein patent

183 USPO

(which is assigned to the aforementioned domestic corporation):

noted that Griebstein, by his attorney, in-Langer, in reading U. S. patent 544,678 issued to Dr. Griebstein of • • • serted the following paragraph at *** (col.] 2, *** (lines) 28-35 of the said pat-[the aforementioned domestic corporation]

are disclosed for use in oral preparations in British Pat. 92,385, (this should be 922,385), published March 27, 1963. Such chelates have been found to subamine carboxylic acid chelating agents stannous tin with dental enamel and are such as ethylenediaminetetra-acetic acid stantially impair the reactivity of therefore of limited value in fluoride-containing oral compositions for caries pro-"Stannous chelates of alkylene poly phylaxis."; parenthetic insert added.

was attempting to use as a source of stannous ionic tin the chelate distannous EDTA in the form of a supernatant liquid and reminded Langer of past conversations had with " " researchers [of the aforementioned domestic corporation], in particular * * • [the third representative of the obtained by slurrying some distannous EDTA with water, certainly not a source of domestic corporation], wherein it was re-ported that * * * [the domestic corporation] stannous tin of any magnitude since the and not a source of stannous ions, since the compound does not form stannous ions in This paragraph from Griebstein's patent clearly mistaken, fails to indicate under what conditions any such chelate was used compound is substantially water insoluble water.

examiner stated that Langer's affidavit "contains no new evidence which would tend to convince those skilled in the art that the allegations in the specification are valid."

In affirming, the board said "* * we agree with the examiner's rejection of the appealed claims as based upon lack of proof of utility In his supplemental examiner's answer, the

(operativeness) of the claimed subject matter for its intended purpose (35 U.S.C. 101)." The board stated that appellant had the burden of supplying "evidence as to clinical testing which would resolve the issues in a simple manner.

ences (Holliday, Fiscella and Griebstein) provided an adequate basis for "skepticism," citing In re Ferens, 57 CCPA 733, 417 F.2d 1072, 163 USPQ 609 (1969). Considering the Myers reference cited by appellant, the board commented "[w]e are puzzled by the fact that he examiner has not discussed this article The board found that the examiner's refer-

page 1312, column 2, first paragraph 17, clearly points out with respect to deposition of a related metal, lead, that reduction of enamel solubility (the 'ESR' test relied upon in appel-lant's arguments) is not directly correlated in . . .," then proceeded to find that "Myers, clinical studies to a reduction of caries."

Concerning the three patents (Norris, Gagolski and Muhler) cited by appellant, the oard said:

stated patents cannot establish a standard for the art to accept in vitro tests as demonstrating proof of utility in the human mouth ditions, including the usual bacteria and other microorganisms and the continuous production of various fluids as well as intermittent contact with liquid and solid foods Eckerd's Cut Rate Medical Co., Inc., 53 F.2d 215, 11 USPQ 55 [D. Del. 1931], af-firmed 63 F.2d 813, 16 USPQ 327 [3rd Cir. The issuance by the Patent Office of the with respect to living teeth. Numerous fac-tors become important under the latter conconsider in vitro tests alone to evidence actual utility. Compare * * * Hoover et al. v. and environmental gases. We thus cannot 1933].

After considering appellant's petition for re-consideration, the board refused to change its decision.

OPINION

The question is whether the claimed subject

matter is "useful" as required by the state-ment of "inventions patentable" found in 35 U.S.C. 101. "I [1] Preliminarily, it should be pointed out that the references relied on by the examiner and the board are not cited as "prior art" ref-

17 The full paragraph reads as follows:

rate of apatite or dental enamel, although in three clinical studies it has been slightly active (30% reduction of cares) only once. From the clinical traas, whether precautions were taken to preserve the lead in the 2's state or whether its low solubility (0.06%) prevents concentrations high enough to be effective is not clear. In those studies in which the activity of lead has been assessed by the reduction of enamel solubility, it has generally been highly efficacious. [Footnote citations omitstannic ion has been proved to be inactive. Also related is the fact that the lead ion has been found to be extremely effective in reducing the solubility Of the tin compounds that have been tried only the stannous ion has been effective. The

18 § 101. Inventions patentable

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and *weful* improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title. [Emphasis ours.]

the purpose of showing a fact under the principle of In re Wilson, 50 CCPA 773, 311 F.2d 266, 135 USPQ 442 (1962). See In re Marzocchi, 58 CCPA 1069, n. 4, 439 F.2d 220, erences. Indeed, the effective date, for prior art Rather, these references are properly cited for purposes, of many of these references is subsequent to appellant's earliest filing date. 169 USPQ 367 (1971).

In re Langer

subject matter that the highest type of evidence (i.e.—clinical testing in humans) is required to rebut the prima facie case. ish such a strong prima facie case for lack of utility ("usefulness") in the entire claimed Turning to the merits, the board held, apparently, that the examiner's references estab

Do the examiner's references establish a prima facie case for lack of utility in the entire claimed subject matter?

[2] As a matter of Patent Office practice, a The Prima Facie Case For Lack of Utility

sufficient reason to question the statement of utility and its scope does exist, a rejection for lack of utility under § 101 will be proper on that basis; such a rejection can be overcome by suitable proofs in a rejection can be overcome by suitable proofs in a rejection can be overcome by utility and its scope as found in the specification are true. CI. In re Marzocchi, 58 CCPA 1669, 1073, 439 F.2d. 220, 223, 169 USPQ 367, 369 (1971) (involving the enablement requirement of 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph). In the instant case, the Griebstein reference utility which corresponds in scope to the subject matter sought to be patented must be taken as sufficient to satisfy the utility requirement of \$ 101 for the entire claimed subject matter unless there is reason for one skilled in the art to question the objective truth of the statement of utility or its scope. Assuming that specification which contains a disclosure

ment of utility made for the entire claimed subject matter. Therefore, the examiner has provides sufficient reason for one skilled in the art to question the objective truth of the stateestablished a prima facie case for lack of utility in the entire claimed subject matter

distinct monostannous chelate of EDTA 19 facie case. Citing the Langer publication (see note 14, supra), appellant argues that his pre-ferred species, the distannous chelate of EDTA (SnzEDTA), is a novel chelate which (see also Langer's affidavit, supra), a person Appellant disputes the existence of a prima was unknown at the time of Holliday's filing date, and that because it is insoluble in water in the art would know that Holliday and Fiscella are not referring to SnzEDTA, but rather they are referring to the chemically which is soluble in water. Although appelskilled

¹⁹ Appellant's brief gives the following chemical formula for the monostannous chelate of EDTA:

showing that Holliday and Fiscella do not establish a prima facie case for lack of utility for SnzEDTA, the argument fails with respect to Griebstein which specifically refers to the chelate of EDTA). Thus, insofar as SnzEDTA British patent (which discloses the distannous is concerned, Griebstein clearly provides sufficient reason to question appellant's statement of utility.

pellant's statement of utility. Griebstein refers to "[s]tannous chelates of alkylene polyamina carboxylic acid chelating agents," disclosed British Pat. 922,385. This class of chelates disclosed in the British patent comprises a large portion of appellant's presently claimed genus. Griebstein then states that "[s]uch chelates cies and the claimed genus (other than SnzEDTA), Griebstein also provides sufficient activity of stannous tin with dental enamel and taining oral compositions for caries prophylaxis." (Emphasis ours.) Griebstein's pointed criticism of the class of chelates disclosed in the British patent is sufficient to establish a prima facie ease for lack of utility in the remaining With regard to the remaining claimed spereason for one skilled in the art to question apare therefore of limited value in fluoride-conhave been found to substantially impair the reclaimed species and in the claimed genus.

The Type of Evidence Needed to Rebut The Prima Facie Case

matter, we do not agree with the board's view that clinical testing in humans is necessary here to rebut the prima facie case.

[3] It is not proper for the Patent Office to require clinical testing in humans to rebut is sufficient to establish a prima facie case for While we find that the Griebstein reference lack of utility in the entire claimed

facie case show in vitro tests and when they do not show in vivo tests employing standard expertinent references which establish the prim prima facie case for lack of utility when the perimental animals.20

mals" by way of analogy to In re Krimmel, 48 CCPA 1116, 1123, 292 F.2d 948, 953, 130 USPQ 215, 219 (1961), where it was defined as "whatever animal is usually used by those skilled in the art to establish the particular pharmaceutical application in question. See also In re Hartop, 50 CCPA 780.

14, 311 F.2d 249, 135 USPQ 419 (1962). 20 We use the phrase "standard experimental ani

supported by tests employing standard experimental animals, much less by clinical testing Although Holliday uses the phrase "do not and Fiscella and Griebstein both use "have been found," these references do not indicate that their statements are

Holliday does not disclose the basis for his statement regarding EDTA, but he discloses an in vitro enamel solubility reduction test to support the statement of utility for his claimed dentifrice invention.

ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid solution formed "a heavy precipitate" in one week and analysis showed that the amount of stannous basis for his statement regarding EDTA is a simple in vitro test where an aqueous solution containing 0.50% by weight stannous fluoride ق fluoride in solution had dropped to nearly one and 2% by weight sodium salt of ethylstannous fluoride content after one week. (The Fiscella indicates that the experimental half the original concentration in one week.) enediaminetetraacetic acid is analyzed

Griebstein does not indicate the experimen-

to require clinical testing in humans when the pertinent references only show in vitro tests. own statements of utility, and one (Fiscella) vitro tests were used as the basis for all the statements regarding EDTA. Therefore, it was improper for the examiner and the board EDTA is based on an in vitro test. In such circumstances, it is reasonable to conclude that in Thus, the three pertinent references cited by the examiner use in vitro tests to support their clearly indicates that his statement regarding

(see note 16, supra), may be necessary to establish "commercial usefulness" in this technology. However, development of a product to Full scale clinical trials in humans, such as described in the Dental Abstracts reference able in the market place is not required to establish "usefulness" within the meaning of \$101. In re Anthony, 56 CCPA 1443, 414 F.2d 1383, 162 USPQ 594 (1969). the extent that it is presently commercially sal-

Claims 2, 7, 12 and 17

These claims are dependent claims which specifically recite a "dentifrice" (claim 2), a "chewing gum" (claim 7), or a "method" (claims 12 and 17) wherein the chelate is appellant's preferred species, the distannous che-

the limitations of the claim incorporated by reference into the dependent claim." 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. We hold that the in vivo dental paste experiment (employing standard experimental animals) described in McIntire's affidavit, which verifies Example 16 of appellant's specification, is sufficient to rebut the prima facie case and to prove utility to one skilled in the art for ShiEDTA when employed in the claimed "dentifrice," "chewing gum, and "method." Gagolski uses a dental paste experiment employing rats to show "cariostatic effect", and Muhler expressly states that rats are of ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (SnzEDTA). Since these are dependent claims, they "* * * shall be construed to include all E C

"standard experimental animals for anticariogenic studies." Hence, rats appear to be a standard experimental animal in this tech-

nology.

McIntire's affidavit, in verifying Example 16 of appellant's specification, states that a caries reduction of approximately 40% was achieved by brushing the rats' teeth with ORABASE emollient dental paste containing 3.5% by weight Sn.E.D.TA. Brushing teeth, as described in the foregoing dental paste experiment, appears to be functionally equivalent to using chewing gum, since in both situations Sn.E.D.TA contacts the tooth enamel. Hence, we accept the dental paste experiment employing a standard experimental animal as suf-ficient proof of utility for the subject matter recited in claims 2, 7, 12 and 17.

Appellant's dental paste experiment em-

ploying a standard experimental animal is clearly distinguishable from the simple in vitro "weighing" tests and "staining" tests described in Hoover v. Eckerd's Cut Rate Medicine Co., Inc., 53 F.2d 215, 11 USPQ 55 (D. Del. 1931), aff d 63 F.2d 813, 16 USPQ 527 (3rd Cir. 1933).

The solicitor attacks appellant's dental paste experiment on three additional grounds. First, that the ORABASE material is not an "emollient dental paste" but rather a "denture adhesive." Second, that the 3.5% by weight. SizEDTA used in the verified test is insufficient proof for the claimed range of 0.00001 to 15% by weight. Third, that the rats may have received fluoride in their drinking

scribed as an "emollient dental paste." The solicitor has not asked us to take judicial notice The problem regarding the identity or function of the ORABASE material stems from the fact that at one point in McIntire's affidavit, the affiant refers to ORABASE as a "denture adhesive" whereas in all other occurrences in the affidavit and in the specification, it is deof any product specification for ORABASE, and therefore the weight of the evidence favors

dental paste." Furthermore, it is hard to see how the rats' teeth could be "brushed" using a denture adhesive, so the solicitor's argument is the view that ORABASE is an "emollient

unrealistic.

SnzEDTA is an active component in a dentifrice and that by using SnzEDTA a significant reduction in caries is achieved. Granted that 0.00001% by weight seems to be a very low concentration, but the SnzEDTA which will be present at that low concentration will nevertheless function in the intended way. A concentration of 0.00001% by weight SnzEDTA may not be commercially acceptable, but commercial acceptability is not required. See In re Anthony, supra, and Land v. Regan, 52 CCPA 1048, 342 F.2d 92, 144 USPQ 661 The solicitor's argument regarding the range of 0.00001 to 15% by weight SnzEDTA fails to recognize the basic fact proved by the verified dental paste experiment. The basic

drinking water. The two control groups should nullify any effect of fluorinated water insofar as the validity of the overall test is concerned, so the solicitor's argument on this McIntire's affidavit is silent on the subject of fluoride control, but it is fair to assume that the three groups of rats used in the experiment verified by McIntire were receiving the same ground must fail.

Claims 1, 3-6, 8-11, 13-16 and 18-20

These claims recite either the defined genus or species other than SnzEDTA. We hold that appellant's evidence of record is insufficient to rebut the prima facie case for lack of utility in the subject matter (other than SnzEDTA) recited in these claims.

all members of the defined genus are substantially water insoluble, that all have an Sn to N ratio of 1 to 1, and that "all provide a source of tin reactive with tooth enamel Appellant's specification, supra, states that tinent references provide sufficient reason for one skilled in the art to question this broad statement of utility.

To prove utility, appellant submitted McIntire's affidavit. But McIntire's affidavit describes experiments where the only chelate tested is SnzEDTA; thus, appellant has submitted no direct evidence proving utility for the other members of the defined genus.

other claimed species and, therefore, the claimed genus. Counsel's basis for this argu-In response to questions from the bench at oral hearing, appellant's counsel argued that the affidavit evidence for SnzEDTA was sufficient to prove indirectly the utility of the ment was "the chemical structure of the compounds involved."

The issue is whether, in view of the prima facie case, one skilled in the art would accept the affidavit evidence for SnzEDTA as sufficient to prove indirectly the utility of the remaining members of the claimed genus (in-

burden of rebutting the prima facie case, appellant submitted no evidence to support the foregoing argument, and counsel's argument cannot take the place of evidence. See In re Schulze, 52 CCPA 1422, 346 F.24 600, 145 USPQ 716 (1965), and In re Cole, 51 CCPA 919, 326 F.24 769, 140 USPQ 230 (1964 Moreover, Myers, cited by appellant, state even, the use of stannous fluoride in caries cluding the other claimed species).

[4] Appellant's argument seems to be that one skilled in this art would view similarity in frices. Despite the fact that appellant had the structure as a reliable guide to functional equivalency for stannous chelates in denti-

technology largely based on empirical results—not on predictable factors. See In re Cook. 58 CCPA 1049, 439 F.24 730, 169 USPQ 298 (1971).

Therefore, since there is no evidence of prevention rests on a "semi-empirical basis." This is some evidence that appellant is in a

cept the affidavit evidence for SnzEDTA as record to support appellant's argument, we cannot say that one skilled in the art would acremaining members of the claimed genus. The prima facie case for lack of utility in the sufficient to prove indirectly the utility of the claimed subject matter (other than SnzEDTA) stands unrebutted.

In summary, we hold that appellant has submitted sufficient evidence to prove that claims 2, 7, 12 and 17 recite subject matter which is "useful" within the meaning of \$101, and therefore we reverse the board's decision on these claims. We further hold that appellant has not submitted sufficient evides to prove that claims 1, 3-6, 8-11, 13-10, 18-20 recite subject matter which is "usefult," and therefore we affirm the board's decision on these latter claims.